UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001 03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (SN) ECF Case

This document relates to:

Ashton et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN)

[PROPOSED] FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT ON BEHALF OF ASHTON 33 PLAINTIFFS IDENTIFIED AT EXHIBIT B

Upon consideration of the evidence and arguments submitted by Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit B, to this Order, plaintiffs in *Ashton et al. v. Al Qaeda Islamic Army et al.*, 02-cv-6977 (S.D.N.Y.) (GBD) (SN) ("*Ashton*"), who are each the immediate family member of victim killed in the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, and the Judgment by Default for liability only against the Islamic Republic of Iran ("Iran") entered on 08/26/2015, together with the entire record in this case, it is hereby;

ORDERED that service of process was effected upon Iran in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1608(a) for sovereign defendants; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit B, who are each an immediate family member of individuals killed in the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 as described in Exhibit B, are awarded solatium damages in the amounts set forth in Exhibit B; and it is further

ORDERED that the *Ashton* Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit B are awarded prejudgment interest of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually, running from September 11, 2001 until the date of judgment; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit B may submit an application for punitive

damages, or other damages (to the extent such awards have not previously been ordered), at a later

date consistent with any future rulings made by this Court on this issue; and it is further

ORDERED that the remaining *Ashton* Plaintiffs not appearing in Exhibit B may submit in

later stages applications for damages awards, and to the extent they are for solatium or by estates

for compensatory damages for decedents' pain and suffering from the September 11, 2001 attacks,

they will be approved consistent with those approved herein for other plaintiffs in this action,

including the Plaintiffs appearing in Exhibit B.

Furthermore, the Court respectfully directs the Clerk of the Court to terminate the motion

at ECF No. 10909.

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

_____, 2025

GEORGE B. DANIELS United States District Judge

2